

To: Reeves, Bruce@DOC[Bruce.Reeves@conservation.ca.gov]
Cc: Engelman, Alexa[ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV]
From: Moffatt, Brett
Sent: Wed 8/20/2014 7:07:11 PM
Subject: RE: Original 7

Bruce,

I didn't mean to imply that we expected the CD to be inadequate. At this point we have a large collection of emails which we have not yet systematically reviewed, and I had not attempted to track the file naming conventions. Essentially, we want the kind of clear record we wish we had from the 1980's, and the CD may be sufficient. We can address any other issues after we have the CD and taken the time to digest it all.

We also intend to share our information with you, but at the moment we are just trying to get through everything. Of course, if we come across anything new that changes our current understanding of the history, we will let you know.

Thank you for all of your efforts during this short time frame.

Brett

Brett Moffatt

US EPA, Region 9

(415) 972-3946

From: Reeves, Bruce@DOC [mailto:Bruce.Reeves@conservation.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2014 11:57 AM
To: Moffatt, Brett
Subject: RE: Original 7

I'm not sure what you mean about the cd not being sufficient, Brett.

The organization you seek is already present in the file naming conventions, in the letters just before “.pdf”.

JS: headquarters documents from Jerry Salera of the UIC unit

RH: headquarters documents from Rob Habel of the UIC unit

D4BP: District 4 documents compiled by UIC unit's Bill Penderel

No coding: documents collected in early days without recording the source. Most or all are from HQ files, however.

Where the initials are followed by a number, the number represents an index number I applied to the source file. The absence of a number generally means that the documents were provided by the person outside of a source file.

Finally, with the exception of the Olcese Exemption file which was copied hurriedly, all component documents are named in a manner that discloses their date at the start of the file name.

When might we be able to conduct our own historical review with the benefit of knowing what documents USEPA has in this area? As I have mentioned in a couple of our calls, we would benefit from knowing what documents in your file shed light on the events surrounding the exempt/nonexempt aquifer question, but so far, we do not have any documents from your end.

BR

From: Moffatt, Brett [<mailto:Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2014 11:38 AM
To: Reeves, Bruce@DOC
Cc: Engelman, Alexa; Albright, David
Subject: RE: Original 7

Bruce,

Thank you for putting this altogether on a CD. Ultimately, we would like a full set of the materials, indexed and organized in manner that reflects the original arrangement or sources of the documents (e.g., so it is clear which district, HQ or other office was the source of each set of files). I don't know if the CD will be sufficient, but it will certainly help in the short-term as we continue to evaluate all of the historical information we can find.

Thanks,

Brett

Brett Moffatt

US EPA, Region 9

(415) 972-3946

From: Reeves, Bruce@DOC [<mailto:Bruce.Reeves@conservation.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2014 10:26 AM
To: Moffatt, Brett
Subject: Original 7

Brett, Here is the file originally sent as 7 of 9. The original set included the Regional Administrator's July 17 letter to the Secretaries, which inexplicably came to 20 megs as David sent it out. I removed that letter to get it under your size limit.

Today, I am putting together a cd of everything that went to USEPA, in order to satisfy a number of requests under the PRA. I will mail you a copy of the same disk, which you can use to resolve any questions about what's what.

I also have scanned another exemption file for the Asphalto exemption. That needs further processing and will come later this week.

BR